



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING D		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/987,871 11/16/2001		11/16/2001	Daisuke Koreeda	P21351	7784	
7055	7590	05/16/2003				
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.				EXAMINER		
1950 ROLA RESTON, V		RKE PLACE		VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1732		
				DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
1
97
)/
,

Office Action Commons	09/987,871		EEDA ef	- d.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit		
	M. VARGOT		1732	-	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet b	eneath the con	espondence add	ress-	
riod for Reply	2				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) I	FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory mir fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS for statute, cause the application	nimum of thirty (30) orn the mailing date to become ABAND	days will be consider of this communicati ONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	red timely. ion. 33).	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{3/11}{2}$	03		<u>. ; </u>	· · ·	
☐ This action is FINAL.				·	
	ant for formal matters.	acception as to	the morte is elec-	nod in	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 			यान वास्ताक १५ ८१०:	ocu III	
sposition of Claims					
ズ Claim(s) 1 − 6		is/are per	nding in the applic	ation.	
Of the above claim(s) 4-6		is/are wit	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)		·			
☐ Claim(s)					
Claim(s)		_		election	
		ma en sima en	ent		
	•	requireme			
plication Papers		•		C D	
plication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved	•	•	B	
plication Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob	is approved	•	•	BEST	
plication Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	•		BEST A	
plication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	•		BEST AVA	
plication Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner irity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	☐ disapproved		BEST AVAIL	
plication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	☐ disapproved		BEST AVAILA	
plication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) ※ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority in the priority of the priority is a claim for foreign priority in the priority of the priority is made of a claim for foreign priority in the priority is made of the priority of the priority is made of the priority is mad	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner to by the Examiner ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	☐ disapproved		BEST AVAILABL	
plication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (as no received.	□ disapproved)-(d).		BEST AVAILABLE	
plication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (as n received.	□ disapproved)-(d).	•	m	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori X All □ Some* □ None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	is approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (as n received. In received in Application Nents have been received	□ disapproved)-(d).	•	iп	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority All □ Some* □ None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation	is approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (as n received. In received in Application Nents have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	□ disapproved)-(d).		BEST AVAILABLE COPY	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (as n received. In received in Application Nents have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	□ disapproved)-(d).	•	iп	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (as n received. In received in Application Nents have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	□ disapproved)-(d). lo	•	iп	
plication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (as no received. In received in Application Notes have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	☐ disapproved)-(d). (a))	ury, PTO-413	E COPY	
plication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (as no received. In received in Application Nonth have been received and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2) No(s). 3	☐ disapproved)-(d). (a))	ry, PTO-413 al Patent Application	E COPY	



66

Application/Control Number: 09/987,871

Art Unit: 1732

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-3 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a search for the invention as set forth in both groups would necessarily overlap. This is not found persuasive because, as noted in the restriction, the instant product can be made by other methods such as by cutting a preformed lens stack. Also, a search for the lens itself would involve a required search in the product class (359) which is not necessary for the method claims. In essence, a burden would exist in the absence of the restriction.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukushima et al (see Figures 1, 2 and 4) in view of Watanabe.

Fukushima et al discloses the basic claimed process of making a complex lens consisting of a plurality of stacked lens portions (see 16 in Fig. 4) by preparing molding dies including a pair of single piece mirror surface cores (6 and 7; see col. 3, lines 7-9) to form the plurality of lens surfaces at an incident and an exit side and injecting lens material into a cavity to form a single-piece lens element generally depicted in Figure 4. Note that the lens portions are molded into a holder (3), the resultant element being readable on the instant "single-piece element". Essentially,

Application/Control Number: 09/987,871

Art Unit: 1732

the primary reference fails to explicitly disclose that the method taught therein is applicable for

Page 3

making a complex lens for use in a "tandem optical scanning system" which will converge a

plurality of light beams which have been deflected onto a surface to be scanned. However,

Fukushima et al (col. 3, line 63 through col. 4, line 9) shows that the lens doublets do converge

light onto a surface to be scanned and that the lens element made in the process therein can be

used in a copier, fax or printer. As shown in the secondary reference, the instant tandem optical

scanning system employing a polygonal deflector is well known in the optical scanning art. It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention, based on

Watanabe, to have recognized that the complex lens element of Fukushima et al would have been

clearly used in the instant optical scanning system for increased accuracy in the scanning.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to M. Vargot whose telephone number is 703 308-2621.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0661.

M. Vargot

May 14, 2003